In: KSC-BC-2023-10

Specialist Prosecutor v. Sabit Januzi, Ismet Bahtijari and

Haxhi Shala

Before: Trial Panel I

Judge Mappie Veldt-Foglia, Presiding Judge

Judge Roland Dekkers

Judge Gilbert Bitti

Judge Vladimir Mikula, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor's Office

Date: 16 January 2025

Language: English

Classification: Public

Prosecution submission pertaining to periodic detention review of Haxhi Shala

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Counsel for Sabit Januzi

Kimberly P. West Jonathan Elystan Rees

Counsel for Ismet Bahtijari

Felicity Gerry

Counsel for Haxhi Shala

Toby Cadman

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Pursuant to Article 41 of the Law¹ and Rule 57 of the Rules,² the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ('SPO') makes the following submissions in support of the need for the continued detention of Haxhi Shala. The Pre-Trial Judge and this Panel have previously held that Shala's detention is justified on multiple bases, that no conditions short of detention in the Kosovo Specialist Chambers ('KSC') detention facilities would be sufficient to minimise the risks, and that the detention period—taking all relevant circumstances into account—is reasonable. Since the most recent determination of the Panel on 4 December 2024,³ there has been no change in circumstances that merits deviating from that determination. Indeed, the progression of the case into its final stages reinforces the necessity and reasonableness of detention.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 2. On 4 December 2024, the Panel issued the Decision denying Shala's request for interim release.
- 3. Since the Decision, an additional disclosure package has been disclosed to the Accused.⁴ In addition, pursuant to a plea agreement,⁵ Shala has pleaded guilty to Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment⁶ dated 10 July 2024.⁷

KSC-BC-2023-10 1 16 January 2025

¹ Law no.05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office, 3 August 2015 ('Law'). Unless otherwise indicated, all references to 'Article(s)' are to the Law.

² Rules of Procedure and Evidence Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, KSC-BD-03/Rev3/2020, 2 June 2020 ('Rules'). All references to 'Rule' or 'Rules' herein refer to the Rules, unless otherwise specified.

³ Public redacted version of Decision on the Sixth Review of Detention of Haxhi Shala, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, 4 December 2024 ('Decision').

⁴ See Disclosure Package 58.

⁵ See Annex 1 to URGENT Prosecution submissions on plea agreements and sentencing, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00618/A01, 6 December 2024.

⁶ ANNEX 2 to Prosecution submission of confirmed amended Indictment, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00379/A01, 10 July 2024, Confidential ('Indictment').

⁷ See Transcript (Plea Agreement Hearing), 18 December 2024, p.432.

III. SUBMISSIONS

4. The Panel has noted the law applicable to deciding such matters, including as set out in Articles 3, 21, and 41, and Rules 56 and 57.8

5. Since the most recent Decision, there have been no developments that diminish the factors supporting the need for and reasonableness of detention. Indeed, the continued progression of the case augments the necessity of detention.

A. GROUNDED SUSPICION

6. Article 41(6)(a) requires a grounded suspicion that the detained person has committed a crime within the jurisdiction of the KSC.⁹ There remains a grounded suspicion that Shala has done so.¹⁰ In the Confirmation Decision, the Pre-Trial Judge determined that there is a suspicion that Shala is liable for intimidation during criminal proceedings and obstructing official persons in performing official duties within the meaning of Articles 387 and 401(1), (2) and (5) of the 2019 Kosovo Criminal Code, Code No. 06/L-074 and Articles 15(2) and 16(3) of the Law,¹¹ to a standard that exceeds that required for detention based on 'grounded suspicion.' Nothing has occurred since the Confirmation Decision was filed that would detract from the Panel's determination.

7. As the case has progressed, it has only been reinforced that there remains a well-grounded suspicion that Shala has committed crimes within the KSC's jurisdiction.

KSC-BC-2023-10 2 16 January 2025

⁸ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 9-10.

⁹ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 11-13.

¹⁰ See Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 11-13.

¹¹ Public Redacted Version of the Decision on the Confirmation of the Indictment, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00005/RED ('Confirmation Decision'), 4 December 2023, paras 101, 117, 129.

On 19 December, Shala pleaded guilty to Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment pursuant to a plea agreement and agreed-upon factual basis statement.

- B. DETENTION IS JUSTIFIED UNDER ALL ARTICLE 41(6)(B) FACTORS
- *i.* Risk of Flight (Article 41(6)(b)(i))
 - 8. The Panel previously concluded that Shala presents a risk of flight.¹²
- 9. The Panel noted the factors previously considered by the Pre-Trial Judge, namely: (i) Shala's awareness of the seriousness of the charges against him and the potential

sentence in the event of a conviction; (ii) his increased insight into the evidence

underpinning the charges through the ongoing disclosure process; and (iii) the

transmission of the case file to the Panel.¹³

10. The Panel was also particularly attentive to the fact that it has very limited information about Shala's personal circumstances, such as his home, occupation, assets and family ties, that are of relevance to evaluate the risk of flight, and that Shala has, in principle, the opportunity to evade justice, including by traveling freely to jurisdictions beyond the reach of KSC.¹⁴

11. Given all of the above in conjunction with his recent guilty pleas, Shala's risk of

flight at the present moment has only increased.

KSC-BC-2023-10 3 16 January 2025

¹² Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.18.

¹³ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.16; Public redacted version of Decision on the Fifth Review of Detention of Haxhi Shala, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00500/RED ('October Decision'), 4 October 2023, para.24.

¹⁴ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.16; October Decision, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00500/RED, para.24.

- ii. Risk of Obstruction of Proceedings (Article 41(6)(b)(ii))
 - 12. Shala continues to present a risk of obstructing the proceedings, consistent with the Panel's recent conclusions.¹⁵
 - 13. The Panel found that Shala has both the means and intent to obtain and misuse witness-related information to obstruct and interfere with KSC proceedings, bearing in mind that: (i) Shala acted in close coordination with his Co-Accused in relation to their approaches to Witness 1 with a view to dissuading the latter from further participating in KSC proceedings as a witness, denoting the Shala's persistence in his intimidation and obstruction efforts in the context of KSC proceedings; and (ii) Shala appears to have purposefully targeted his Co-Accused, who would be better suited to persuade Witness 1 to withdraw his testimony. In addition, the Panel attached weight to Shala's unity of interests with influential individuals from within the former leadership of the Kosovo Liberation Army.
 - 14. The Panel assessed the above factors against the backdrop of the pervasive climate of fear and intimidation in Kosovo against witnesses and potential witnesses of the KSC,¹⁸ which the Court of Appeals has agreed is a relevant 'contextual consideration.'¹⁹

KSC-BC-2023-10 4 16 January 2025

¹⁵ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 19-21.

¹⁶ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.20; October Decision, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00500/RED, para.30.

¹⁷ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.20; October Decision, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00500/RED, para.30.

¹⁸ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.20; October Decision, KSC-BC-2023-11/F00500/RED, para.31.

¹⁹ Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Hashim Thaçi's Appeal Against Decision on Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA017/F00011/RED, 5 April 2022, paras 41-48; Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Kadri Veseli's Appeal Against Decision on Remanded Detention Review and Periodic Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA014/F00008/RED, 31 March 2022, para.50; Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Rexhep Selimi's Appeal Against Decision on Remanded Detention Review and Periodic Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA015/F00005/RED, 25 March 2022, para.43.

15. In this regard, the SPO notes that, as held by the Trial Panel in Case 07, 'the mere fact that the Accused is entitled to disclosure of relevant material does not mean that the Panel ought to ignore the risks that come with such disclosure, especially in the context of conditional release.'20

iii. Risk of Criminal Offences (Article 41(6)(b)(iii))

16. In previously finding that Shala may commit further crimes, the Panel recalled that, even though the existence of a risk of obstruction does not automatically translate into a risk of committing further offences, the factors underpinning the former are of relevance to the assessment of the latter in the circumstances of the present case.²¹ In particular, the Panel found that there continues to be a risk that Shala might commit further offences, including against witnesses who have provided or could provide evidence in the case.²²

17. Additionally, Trial Panel II in Case 06 has held that especially once information regarding sensitive witnesses has been disclosed to the Defence, any risk of the further commission of crimes must be avoided.²³ Therefore, and especially in light of

KSC-BC-2023-10 5 16 January 2025

²⁰ *Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj,* Public Redacted Version of Decision on Review of Detention of Nasim Haradinaj, KSC-BC-2020-07/F00507/RED, 21 December 2021, para.36.

²¹ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 23-24.

²² Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.24.

²³ See e.g., Prosecutor v Thaçi et al., Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Hashim Thaçi, KSC-BC-2020-06/F02125, 15 February 2024, para.26; Prosecutor v Thaçi et al., Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Kadri Veseli, KSC-BC-2020-06/F02126, 15 February 2024, para.27.

continuing disclosure, the unacceptable risk of the commission of further crimes requires Shala's continued detention.

C. NO MODALITIES OF CONDITIONAL RELEASE ARE ABLE TO SUFFICIENTLY MITIGATE THE RISKS

18. The relevant risks can only be effectively managed at the KSC's detention facilities, as recently reaffirmed by the Panel.²⁴

19. The Panel found that none of Shala's proposed conditions for release, nor any additional measures foreseen in Article 41(12), could sufficiently mitigate the existing risks related to obstruction and the commission of further crimes.²⁵

20. Nothing has occurred since the Panel's previous determination warranting a different assessment on conditions, either generally or for a discrete period of time. Rather, the progression of the case and attendant further disclosure render the underlying risks higher than ever.

D. DETENTION IS PROPORTIONAL

21. Detention remains proportional. At the last detention review, the Panel found that the time Shala has spent in pre-trial detention is not disproportionate.²⁶

22. The Panel recalled that: (i) Shala has been detained since his arrest on 11 December 2023; (ii) he is charged with two counts of obstructing official persons in performing official duties and one count of intimidation during criminal proceedings, under various forms of criminal responsibility, which carry a potential sentence of up to five years and ten years of imprisonment, respectively; and (iii) the risks under Article

KSC-BC-2023-10 6 16 January 2025

²⁴ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 28-30.

²⁵ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 27-28.

²⁶ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.35

41(6)(b)(ii) and (iii) of the Law cannot be mitigated by the proposed conditions and/or any additional conditions.27

23. The Panel also noted that Shala's detention shall be reviewed every two months or as soon as a change in circumstances arises, and that weighed against the remaining factors and, in particular: (i) the serious nature of the charges against the Accused; (ii) the impossibility to mitigate the risks under Article 41(6)(b)(ii) and (iii); and (iii) the steady advancement of the proceedings, such that the detention of Shala has not become unreasonable under Rule 56(2).²⁸

24. The proceedings continue to move forward expeditiously, as demonstrated, in particular, by Shala having pleaded guilty to counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment.²⁹

25. Given all of the above, detention remains both reasonable and proportional at this time.

IV. CONCLUSION

26. For the foregoing reasons, the SPO respectfully submits that Shala should continue to be detained.

Word count: 1,747

Kimberly P. West

Specialist Prosecutor

Thursday, 16 January 2025

At The Hague, the Netherlands

²⁷ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, para.33.

²⁸ Decision, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00614/RED, paras 34-35.

²⁹ See Annex 1 to URGENT Prosecution submissions on plea agreements and sentencing, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00618/A01, 6 December 2024; Transcript (Plea Agreement Hearing), 18 December 2024, p.432.